

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
10/052,669	01/18/2002	Li-Wei Hsu	205032000700	5533
	590 10/20/2004		EXAM	INER
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 3811 VALLEY CENTRE DRIVE SUITE 500			BISSETT, M	ELANIE D
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92130-2332		1711	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Assistant O	10/052,669	HSU ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Melanie D. Bissett	1711
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thir riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>3</u> (0 July 2004	
	his action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers prosecution as to the marite is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle. 1935 C.D.). 11, 453 O.G. 213
Disposition of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,12-14 and 16-21</u> is/are per	nding in the application	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	advir from consideration.	•
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,12-14 and 16-21</u> is/are reje	actad	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	oleu.	,
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement	
	or orodion requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		•
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) dbjected to b	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.
riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	nts have been received.	
— Promis decarrie	nts nave been received in Ap	pplication No
	iority documents have been r	eceived in this National Stage
application from the International Bure		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.
ttachment(s)		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmary (PTO-413)
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/30/04</u> .	6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
Patent and Trademark Office OL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	
Unice 2	กงแบบ อนเกเทล เ ร	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 1004

Application/Control Number: 10/052,669

Art Unit: 1711

1. The rejections based on 35 USC 112, 102, and 103 have been withdrawn based on the applicant's amendments. However, new double patenting rejections have been added.

Page 2

Double Patenting

- 2. Claims 1-4, 7-8, and 12-14 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2 and 5-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,645,719 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of substantially overlapping subject matter. Patented claim 1 is a narrower reading of present claim 1, where the patented claim is directed to an intended use of immobilizing herb components and specifies that the slide contains independently allocated microarrays on the coating. However, the patented claim is encompassed by the present claim. Due to substantial overlapping subject matter, it is the examiner's position that the claims are not patentably distinct. Patented claims 2 and 5-11 teach the features of present claims 2-4, 7-8, and 12-14.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-8, 12-14, and 16-21 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 2-3, 5, 7-11, 13-15, and 17-22 of copending Application No. 10/233,235.

 Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because of substantially overlapping subject matter. Copending claim 7 is drawn to a plastic slide useful for immobilizing proteins, peptides, and small molecules,

Application/Control Number: 10/052,669

Art Unit: 1711

where the slide is surface treated to have a polyfunctional aldehyde coupled to a compound having an NH₂ group and a subsequent coating. Copending claim 11 teaches a polyfunctional epoxide coating for the slide, where the epoxide is inherently capable of reacting with NH₂ groups and proteins, peptides, or small molecules. It is the examiner's position that it would have been prima facie obvious to combine the limitations of copending claims 7 and 11 to form a slide capable of sufficiently immobilizing such materials. Copending claims 2-3, 5, 7-10, and 13-15 teach the limitations of present claims 2-5, 7-8, and 12-14.

4. Furthermore, copending claim 17 is a narrower reading of present claim 16, where the copending claim further limits the slide to have at least one cavity chamber having a specific depth. However, the copending claim is encompassed by the present claim. Due to substantial overlapping subject matter, it is the examiner's position that the claims are not patentably distinct. Copending claims 18-22 teach the features of present claims 17-21.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Response to Arguments

5. The prior rejections have been withdrawn based on the applicant's amendments. However, new double patenting rejections have been added.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie D. Bissett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1068. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MELANIE BISSETT PATENT EXAMINER

mdb